

2026 CANDIDATE SURVEY

Emily Study

State Representative | District 14 | Republican

INCUMBENT

No

CAMPAIGN EMAIL

study4wyoming@gmail.com

CAMPAIGN PHONE

(307) 314-9198

CAMPAIGN WEBSITE

<https://study4wyoming.com/>

Candidate-submitted response. The answers below were received through the 2026 candidate survey form and are reproduced as submitted, with only formatting changes. Publicly researched positions were not used. Publication does not constitute an endorsement by Wyoming Family Alliance Action.

1

1. Abortion is the intentional act of ending the life of another human being, while in the womb. Lawmakers should work to promote and protect the value of human life from conception to natural death, rather than further expansions of abortion access. (HB126-2026; HB42-2025; HB 148-2024)

RESPONSE Agree

Children have the right to life. Whether in the womb or not. They still have a heartbeat. In the case of a medical emergency, where the mother is in danger of losing her life, this is where the difference lies and in emergent situations, the mother certainly has the right to chose her life.

2

2. The danger of chemical abortion has been heavily researched (see EPPC study). Lawmakers should work to ensure that women are fully informed and receive a medical examination prior to receiving such drugs. (HB64-2025; HB117-2026)

RESPONSE Strongly Agree

Every woman should be very well educated on any sort of abortion. A loss of life, no matter the circumstance is never good.

3

3. Individuals and faith-based entities should have the right of conscience to freely live out their sincerely held convictions without fear of government infringement or retaliation. (HB 207-2025; SF 77-2025)

RESPONSE Strongly Agree

Freedom of Religion is very much the First Amendment of the constitution. Our founding fathers made it very clear that that is the most important part of our lives and should not be infringed. Therefore, individuals and entities should always have the right to sincerely hold their convictions without fear of the government.

- 4** *4. Medical personnel should not be required to participate in any medical procedure or service that conflicts with the individual's sincerely held moral, ethical, or religious beliefs. (SF111-2023; HB143-2026)*

RESPONSE Strongly Agree

If this is strongly annotated by the individual in question. Yes, medical personnel should not be required to participate in medical procedures or service that conflicts with the individuals moral, ethical or religious beliefs. In the absence of such directions, Medical personnel should not be liable from holding their oath to saving human life.

- 5** *5. Lawmakers should protect minors by ensuring that government-funded spaces, including libraries and public schools, restrict access to sexually explicit content, while recognizing the right of parents to make decisions regarding their minor children's access to such materials. (HB10-2026)*

RESPONSE Strongly Agree

My child is just as important as her friend. We pay taxes for such spaces like libraries and and those of public schools to have a safe instructional space for all attending public education. This includes controlled information that are sexually explicit content. My right as a parent to limit the exposure of my child should not be infringed upon based on someone else's child wanting to have access to sexually explicit material. There is a place for teaching and providing the proper material to for learning. Sexually explicit material is not a teaching mechanism that should be provided in schools.

- 6** *6. Wyoming should recognize biological differences between men and women and protect girls' sports, locker rooms, and sex-designated spaces. (SF 44-2025; SF 62-2025; HB 72-2025; HB 32-2025; SF133-2023)*

RESPONSE Strongly Agree

I have girls. They all play volleyball. Should a biological male decide to identify as a female, he is still a male. His testosterone levels would cause my child an instant concussion if she gets hit by a volleyball that he hit. In an all girl sports team, a male should never play. If this was designated as a Co-ed, then that gives the option for those to opt out to play. Otherwise, I strongly oppose a biological male playing in an all biological female sport.

- 7** *7. Lawmakers should support legislation that helps parents stand up to government entities that attempt to circumvent their rights in raising their children. (Sf 09-2024; HB 200-2025)*

RESPONSE Strongly Agree

These circumstances can be difficult. The line should not be drawn at someone else's perspective in how they envision a child should be raised. There are many good parents out there and the government deciding how that parent should parent is absurd. Questions should be posed as to how that parent is raising their child vs. is the child mentally and physically abused and at risk of constant danger from the parent. It's not the government's job to tell us how to parent.

- 8** *8. Lawmakers should allow education funding to follow the child and protect parents' freedom to choose public, public charter, private, or homeschool options. (HB 166-2024; SF 61-2024; HB 199-2025; HB 94-2025; HB 46-2025; HB 23-2026)*

RESPONSE Strongly Agree

100% agree. My child was bullied at school and her grades showed significant changes. We pulled her from public school. Due to the pulling her in February, we had to pay for a laptop and enrollment for online school. This totaled roughly around \$3k. We received no incentives or reimbursements for assistance from the state or the school district. No guidance. The money SHOULD ALWAYS follow the child.

9

9. Lawmakers should support open enrollment policies that allow students to attend schools regardless of their geographic address. (SF 109-2025)

RESPONSE Strongly Agree

Boundary exceptions exist. A child should always be able to go to a school that the parents deem appropriate. Whether it's for the safety of the child or for the convenience of the parents and for the safety of the child. Dual income homes make it difficult for parents to be home with their child. This, I know sounds heartless, but some families often cannot afford childcare. Therefore, if parents choose to have their child go to a long distance school and the child has the opportunity to do homework while on the bus, this too is a sacrifice some parents make for their children. Another example is country kids. They live far from their schools. Ranches are not close to schools. It is unfortunate, however, kids and parents have no choice and these kids have to attend schools that are far away.

10

10. Lawmakers should ensure that public schools and post-secondary educational institutions do not seek to enforce DEI (Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion) mandates, which promote discrimination by dividing individuals into classes of "oppressed" and "oppressor." (SF 103-2025; HB 147-2025)

RESPONSE Strongly Agree

It's everyone included or nothing. Lawmakers should never prevent a talented and gifted child from advancing because of DEI. For the same reason as it is not right for a struggling child to be in the same room as advanced kids. There are levels of education. In the terms of the bill: "(A) That any race, color, religion, sex, ethnicity or national origin is inherently superior or inferior; (B) That a person should be discriminated against or adversely treated because of the person's race, color, religion, sex, ethnicity or national origin", no person should ever have special treatment based on the color of their skin or their gender.

Candidate responses are shown verbatim except for typographic normalization needed for document rendering.