

2026 CANDIDATE SURVEY

Luc Colgrove

State Representative | District 57 | Democratic

INCUMBENT

No

CAMPAIGN EMAIL

campaign@lucascolgrove.com

CAMPAIGN PHONE

(307) 222-8006

CAMPAIGN WEBSITE

<http://lucascolgrove.com>

Candidate-submitted response. The answers below were received through the 2026 candidate survey form and are reproduced as submitted, with only formatting changes. Publicly researched positions were not used. Publication does not constitute an endorsement by Wyoming Family Alliance Action.

1

1. Abortion is the intentional act of ending the life of another human being, while in the womb. Lawmakers should work to promote and protect the value of human life from conception to natural death, rather than further expansions of abortion access. (HB126-2026; HB42-2025; HB 148-2024)

RESPONSE Disagree

Abortion access in Wyoming is already limited, including for medically necessary procedures. I think a lot of fear has been placed on this topic. But the reality is, we struggle to care for the people already here. Protecting a life in the womb while failing to care for that life once born doesn't feel very compassionate to me. It feels far more cruel than we are willing to admit.

2

2. The danger of chemical abortion has been heavily researched (see EPPC study). Lawmakers should work to ensure that women are fully informed and receive a medical examination prior to receiving such drugs. (HB64-2025; HB117-2026)

RESPONSE Agree

Women deserve full information before any medical procedure. But informed consent shouldn't be used to block access, or shame women who are faced with a medical decision; it should be used to ensure safety.

3 *3. Individuals and faith-based entities should have the right of conscience to freely live out their sincerely held convictions without fear of government infringement or retaliation. (HB 207-2025; SF 77-2025)*

RESPONSE Strongly Disagree

Religion in the name of freedom has been shouted from the rooftops. Every individual should be free to exercise their religion, but if those practices and beliefs harm others, the government has a duty to intervene. Doctors who believe their religious views exempt them from performing abortions does not allow them the right to prevent others who do not hold their beliefs and values from their practices. Individual freedom is very important to me, and I don't believe any religion's oppressive beliefs should be forced on people who do not believe the same.

4 *4. Medical personnel should not be required to participate in any medical procedure or service that conflicts with the individual's sincerely held moral, ethical, or religious beliefs. (SF111-2023; HB143-2026)*

RESPONSE Agree

No medical professional should be required to participate in any service that violates their beliefs. At the same time, as a professional, the patient's needs must also be considered. To ensure timely care, without violating deeply held beliefs, medical professionals should, at bare minimum, help the patient find access to care that is suitable for their needs. For example, if a bone doctor saw a patient and discovered cancer, it would be irresponsible to not refer the patient to a professional who could provide the right care for the issue.

5 *5. Lawmakers should protect minors by ensuring that government-funded spaces, including libraries and public schools, restrict access to sexually explicit content, while recognizing the right of parents to make decisions regarding their minor children's access to such materials. (HB10-2026)*

RESPONSE Strongly Disagree

Protecting our children is important, but HB10 went way too far. What sort of parent doesn't supervise their child at the library to begin with? I support the principle that sexually explicit material shouldn't be in children's sections of taxpayer-funded libraries. But, Wyoming has a strong knit community between our libraries to begin with, and I believe there is a LOT of artificial outrage on this subject. Trust your librarians to do their jobs responsibly, address the issue with the library board if needed, and take personnel matters up with your county commissioners if absolutely necessary. Statewide legislation designed to open frivolous lawsuits against our libraries makes little sense to me.

6 *6. Wyoming should recognize biological differences between men and women and protect girls' sports, locker rooms, and sex-designated spaces. (SF 44-2025; SF 62-2025; HB 72-2025; HB 32-2025; SF133-2023)*

RESPONSE Disagree

Bodies are biologically wired differently, in part thanks to hormones. Studies are inconclusive, but indicate that after years of therapy, physical performance can be similar. The government is applying broad overreach with these bills, and I believe this is counterproductive to our communities and their interests.

7 *7. Lawmakers should support legislation that helps parents stand up to government entities that attempt to circumvent their rights in raising their children. (Sf 09-2024; HB 200-2025)*

RESPONSE Strongly Disagree

I support parental rights. I also support trusting our locally elected school boards, our teachers, and our parents to work together without the state stepping in to micromanage every interaction.

8

8. Lawmakers should allow education funding to follow the child and protect parents' freedom to choose public, public charter, private, or homeschool options. (HB 166-2024; SF 61-2024; HB 199-2025; HB 94-2025; HB 46-2025; HB 23-2026)

RESPONSE Strongly Disagree

Public funds should be publicly transparent. While I support parental choice, I firmly oppose using public funds to be hidden within private charter schools. We must admit that if we are placing public funds into private institutions, they have a duty to the public to be fully transparent. Wyoming's Constitution, Article 7, Section 8, clearly prohibits using any public school fund to support or assist any private school, or any school controlled by a church or religious organization. To abandon these fundamental principles in our constitution is gravely concerning to me.

9

9. Lawmakers should support open enrollment policies that allow students to attend schools regardless of their geographic address. (SF 109-2025)

RESPONSE Strongly Disagree

Natrona County has had school of choice for a long time now... I got to choose what high school I attended, and my elementary school was not the closest to my home. I support school of choice, but I think our local communities are enabled to make decisions for themselves with their duly elected school boards.

10

10. Lawmakers should ensure that public schools and post-secondary educational institutions do not seek to enforce DEI (Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion) mandates, which promote discrimination by dividing individuals into classes of "oppressed" and "oppressor." (SF 103-2025; HB 147-2025)

RESPONSE Strongly Disagree

I support the goal of ensuring that no one is treated unfairly based on race or sex. But I don't support banning ideas from our classrooms. The better approach is transparency: let parents and students see what's being taught, trust local school boards to make decisions, and keep the government out of the business of policing ideas.

Candidate responses are shown verbatim except for typographic normalization needed for document rendering.